ES3210 - Sediment-Hosted Zn-Pb (SEDEX) Deposits (aka Sedimentary Exhalative or Clastic Dominated Zn-Pb deposits)

Stephen J. Piercey
ES 3210 ECONOMIC MINERAL DEPOSITS

- Relevant Chapter in Mineral Deposits of Canada:
  - SEDEX Deposits, Goodfellow & Lydon
SEDEX – DEFINITIVE CHARACTERISTICS

• Occur in marine sedimentary sequences of intracontinental rifts – or similar environments

• Spatially associated (interbedded) with (clastic) basinal sedimentary rocks

  ⇒ ore often stratiform, at least in part

• Ores deposited on seafloor in tectonically controlled second or third order basins

• ⇒ another class of definitively submarine deposits

after Lydon, 2004 and Goodfellow & Lydon 2007
SEDEX – DEFINITIVE CHARACTERISTICS

- Main ore metals are Zn, Pb & Ag
  - Usually in sphalerite and galena
- Cu is minor or subsidiary
- Ore is interbedded with Fe sulphides (pyrite or pyrrhotite)
- Typically tabular or wedge-shaped bodies

after Lydon, 2004 and Goodfellow & Lydon 2007
SEDEX – DEFINITIVE CHARACTERISTICS

• Genetic Model
  • Deposited on the seafloor, and in associated sub-seafloor vent complexes, from hydrothermal fluids vented into reduced sedimentary basins
  • Analogous to modern “Red Sea” environment

after Lydon, 2004 and Goodfellow & Lydon 2007
Note: BHT ("Broken Hill-Type") and VSHMS ("Volcanogenic Sediment-Hosted MS") categories include many deposits in the Besshi-Type Class of VMS. Goodfellow & Lydon, 2007
VMS - SEDEX - MVT

- **VMS**
  - Occur in submarine volcanic-sedimentary belts
  - Formed from convective hydrothermal fluids (seawater-derived) driven by a sub-volcanic intrusion - driven by magmatic heat.
  - Fluids are generally reduced.
  - Formed by hydrothermal systems that vented fluids onto the seafloor - age difference between ores and immediate host rocks is always small
  - Temperature of fluids $200^\circ C$-$380^\circ C$.

- **SEDEX**
  - Occur within (or on the platform margins of) a thick sedimentary basin as a result of migration of (connate) basinal saline fluids – sub-volcanic heat source implied. Normal geothermal gradient can drive fluid flow (not always need for magmatism).
  - Sediments are usually clastic.
  - Brines are oxidized.
  - Formed by hydrothermal systems that vented fluids onto the sea floor - age difference between ores and immediate host rocks is always small
  - Temperature of fluids $<250^\circ C$. 
VMS - SEDEX - MVT

- **SEDEX**
  - Occur within (or on the platform margins of) a thick sedimentary basin as a result of migration of (connate) basinal saline fluids – sub-volcanic heat source implied. Normal geothermal gradient can drive fluid flow (not always need for magmatism).
  - Sediments are clastic.
  - Brines are oxidized.
  - Formed by hydrothermal systems that vented fluids onto the sea floor - age difference between ores and immediate host rocks is always small (i.e., syngenetic)
  - Temperature of fluids >250°C.

- **MVT**
  - Occur within (or on the platform margins of) a thick sedimentary basin as a result of migration of (connate) basinal saline fluids.
  - Sediments are mostly carbonates.
  - Brines are oxidized.
  - Formed in the (continental) subsurface - age difference between ores and host rocks can be very large (i.e., epigenetic).
Known SEDEX Deposits in N. America

*SEDEX affinity debated

*Bryson
*Balmat
*Franklin

Goodfellow & Lydon, 2007
Table 3. Grade and Tonnage of Canadian SEDEX Deposits brought into Production

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deposit Name</th>
<th>Province/Territory</th>
<th>Latitude degrees</th>
<th>Longitude degrees</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Date (Ma)</th>
<th>Cu (%)</th>
<th>Zn (%)</th>
<th>Pb (%)</th>
<th>Ag (g/t)</th>
<th>Au (g/t)</th>
<th>Total Production (Mt)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan</td>
<td>British Columbia</td>
<td>49.7083</td>
<td>-116.0056</td>
<td>Mesoproterozoic</td>
<td>1470</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faro</td>
<td>Yukon</td>
<td>62.3583</td>
<td>-133.3667</td>
<td>Cambrian</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vangorda</td>
<td>Yukon</td>
<td>62.2528</td>
<td>-133.1833</td>
<td>Cambrian</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grum</td>
<td>Yukon</td>
<td>62.2694</td>
<td>-133.2222</td>
<td>Cambrian</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jersey</td>
<td>British Columbia</td>
<td>49.0986</td>
<td>-117.2208</td>
<td>Early Cambrian</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.B.</td>
<td>British Columbia</td>
<td>49.1467</td>
<td>-117.2000</td>
<td>Early Cambrian</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reeves-MacDonald</td>
<td>British Columbia</td>
<td>49.0200</td>
<td>-117.3708</td>
<td>Early Cambrian</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral King</td>
<td>British Columbia</td>
<td>50.3458</td>
<td>-116.4264</td>
<td>Neoproterozoic</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kootenay King</td>
<td>British Columbia</td>
<td>49.7431</td>
<td>-115.6111</td>
<td>Mesoproterozoic</td>
<td>1468</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key point: often big with lots of contained metal.

Faro 58 Mt
Grum 31 Mt
Vangorda 7.5 Mt

Goodfellow & Lydon, 2007
SEDEX – GRADE AND TONNAGE

- The proportion of world primary production of Zn and Pb from SEDEX deposits is 31% and 25%, respectively (2006).
- The size (in tonnes of Pb + Zn metal) of SEDEX deposits is on average an order of magnitude greater than that of VMS deposits (Goodfellow et al., 1993).
Main End Uses of Zinc

- Galvanizing: 50%
- Diecasting: 17%
- Brass & Bronze: 17%
- Rolled Zinc: 6%
- Chemicals: 6%
- Misc.: 4%

Source: ILZSG

International Zinc Association, 2011
Zinc reserves (A), Zinc resources (B) & Zinc production (C) in 2004. Broken down by deposit-type.

Grade vs. Tonnage Plots

SEDEX - Including Irish-type & BHT deposits worldwide

Big deposits - lots of metal = attractive targets for mining and exploration companies

Goodfellow & Lydon, 2007
VENT-PROXIMAL VS VENT-DISTAL

- Morphology of SEDEX deposits is controlled by the proximity of seafloor sulphide deposition to fluid discharge vents + temperature and salinity of fluids.
  - Vent-proximal deposits typically form from buoyant hydrothermal fluids
  - Vent-distal deposits form from fluids that are denser than seawater and pool in remote depressions
Sato buoyancy models for VMS (and hydrothermal fluids, in general)

Robb (2005); Figure 3.23a; after Sato (1972)
Fig. 1 Temperature versus salinity plot. Point “A” is the position of seawater at 2 °C. Line AB is the seawater isodensity line; points on this line have the same density as seawater at 2 °C (\( \rho = 1.028 \) g/cm\(^3\)). Ore fluids that plot below this line are less dense than seawater; fluids plotting above the line are denser than seawater. Data from Haas (1976). Line AC defines the lower limit of the field of buoyancy reversal (data from Turner and Campbell 1987). The field between AB and AC is one in which a discharging fluid is initially less dense than seawater and rises as a buoyant plume. After mixing with seawater, the fluid becomes heavy, the plume then collapses, and the fluid collects on the seafloor as a dense brine.
Vent-Proximal SEDEX Deposit

(e.g., Tom, Jason - MacMillan Pass)

Goodfellow & Lydon, 2007
VENT-PROXIMAL DEPOSITS

- Characterized by four distinct facies:
  1) Bedded sulphides
  2) Vent complex
  3) Sulphide stringer zone (feeder zone)
  4) Distal hydrothermal sediments

after Goodfellow & Lydon, 2007
VENT-PROXIMAL DEPOSITS

- Near the center of fluid up-flow represented by the stringer zone, the bedded sulphides are characteristically infilled, veined & replaced by a high-T mineral assemblage → producing the vent complex (Goodfellow et al., 1993)

- Distal hydrothermal sediments probably represent plume fallout dispersed by bottom currents - or clastic sulphides from reworked/eroded bottom deposits

after Goodfellow & Lydon, 2007
VENT-PROXIMAL DEPOSITS

- Characteristically zone-refined due to the reaction of hot hydrothermal fluids with pre-existing stratiform sulphides overlying the vents

- An increase in the Zn:Pb ratio away from the vent complex is the most pronounced and consistent evidence of zone-refining

  e.g., Tom (Goodfellow and Rhodes, 1990), Jason (Turner, 1990), Cirque (Jefferson et al., 1983), Sullivan (Hamilton et al., 1982), Red Dog (Moore et al., 1986)

after Goodfellow & Lydon, 2007
Zone Refining: Photographic Evidence
Vent-Distal SEDEX Deposit

after Goodfellow & Lydon, 2007
Fig. 2 Laboratory experiment to illustrate the role of a “sinking brine”. a Water-filled aquarium with a small hollow in quartz sand floored with a ~1-cm-thick layer of modeling clay (black layer) tightly attached to the viewer’s side of the aquarium and overlain with more sand. b Dye-colored saline solution (“ore fluid”) placed in hollow immediately begins to sink into the underlying sand. c Irregular, downward-projecting “ore fluid” extensions below the brine pool. d The first increment of “ore fluid” has ponded against the clay, ambient “seawater” has again filled the sand pores, and a second increment of fluid has been added to the pool. e, f As more fluid is added to the brine pool, it continues to sink and eventually to accumulate above the impermeable clay layer. g, h The brine pool has been allowed to deplete itself, the “ore fluid” has collected on the clay layer and a layer of quartz sand has been added above the “ore layer”. The small vertical stripe below the clay in 2G is caused by a small leak between the clay and aquarium glass.

From Sangster (2002)
VENT-PROXIMAL VS VENT-DISTAL

- Vent-distal deposits, however, are typically weakly zoned, well bedded and conform to the basin morphology.
- There is no evidence of the type of zone refining that accompanies veining, infilling and replacement of bedded sulphides by a typically higher temperature assemblage that characterizes vent-proximal deposits.
Polished slab of ore from the “B-Band”, 3200SE Crosscut, Sullivan Mine.

Illustrating the typical laminated nature of pyrrhotite-rich bedded ores.
From Lydon and Reardon, 2000.
High grade, galena-rich, relatively un-reworked layered ore from the upper part of the main sulphide body in the Vent Complex, Sullivan Mine
FIG. 8 Photographs of SEDEX and barite deposits. A. Bedded facies: sphalerite and galena interlaminated with pyrite, hydrothermal carbonate and carbonaceous chert, Howards Pass (XY) deposit, Yukon and NWT. B. Distal hydrothermal sediments: Pyrrhotite and pyrite containing disseminated sphalerite interlaminated with fine-grained turbiditic sedimentary rocks, Concentrator Hill, Sullivan deposit, B.C.; C. Vent Complex: pyrite, sphalerite, galena and ferroan carbonates replacing bedded sulphide facies, Tom Deposit, Selwyn Basin, Yukon; D. Vent Complex: tourmalized breccia infilled with pyrrhotite, sphalerite and chalcopyrite, Sullivan Deposit, B.C.; E. Sulphide Stringer Zone: Black silicified shale cut by a network of brown sphalerite veins, Red Dog deposit, Alaska; F. Well bedded barren barite deposit, Gataga District, northeastern B.C.
SEDEX – OTHER COMMON CHARACTERISTICS

- Bulk of sulphide mineralization in most SEDEX deposits resides in bedded ore facies

- Other associated hydrothermal sediments:
  - Mn- & Ca-carbonates
  - Calcium phosphate
  - Silicate-oxide-carbonate facies iron formations
SEDEX – OTHER COMMON CHARACTERISTICS

- Dominant sulphide mineral in most deposits is pyrite.
  - In some deposits (e.g., Sullivan and Mt. Isa) it is pyrrhotite.

- Barite, when present, occurs in significant amounts (i.e., >25% of the hydrothermal product) and is present in ~25% of Proterozoic and ~75% of Phanerozoic SEDEX deposits (Goodfellow et al., 1993).

- Silica (usually chert), is ubiquitous in most stratiform ores and is, in part, hydrothermally derived.
In contrast to the regularly layered appearance of the bedded ore facies, the vent complex is extremely heterogeneous.

Can contain massive zones, replacement patches, irregular veins and/or disseminations of sulphides, carbonates, & silicates (mostly quartz).

Mineral assemblage dominated by pyrite, pyrrhotite, galena, sphalerite, ferroan carbonate, dolomite, quartz, tourmaline.

Lesser muscovite, chlorite, chalcopyrite, arsenopyrite, and sulphosalts minerals.
SEDEX – FEEDER ZONE

- Feeder zone underlying vent complexes is a discordant zone composed of sulphide, carbonate & silica veins, impregnations & replacements that transects the footwall sedimentary sequence.
- Feeder zone at many deposits appears rooted in a syn-sedimentary fault zone.
- Fault-scarp breccias, debris flows, & abrupt facies changes associated with SEDEX deposits indicate that faulting was active before, during, and/or after sulphide formation (e.g. Jason and HYC deposits, Large et al., 1998; Turner, 1990).
Vent-Proximal SEDEX Deposit

Goodfellow & Lydon, 2007
Vent-Distal SEDEX Deposit

Goodfellow & Lydon, 2007
Sato Buoyancy Models – developed for VMS

Robb (2005); Figure 3.23a; after Sato (1972)
VENT-PROXIMAL VS VENT-DISTAL

- Further to the Sato models, behaviour changes somewhat between VMS deposits and SEDEX deposits.
- Due to the presence of a reactive, H$_2$S-rich, anoxic ambient bottom water.
  - Vent-proximal deposits typically form from buoyant hydrothermal fluids.
  - Vent-distal deposits form from fluids that are denser than seawater and pool in remote depressions.
Bedded Sulphides

Dispersion of metals from the brine pool

Buoyant Plume

Footwall Shale

Brine Pool

Bedded Sulphides

Feeder Zone

Hydrothermal Sediments

Goodfellow & Lydon, 2007
Cross-section of Metalliferous Mud Facies, Atlantis II Deep, Red Sea

Based on this cross-section - does the Atlantis II Deep appear to represent a proximal, or distal, SEDEX deposit?

Hackett & Bischoff, 1973
SEDEX Model

We have brines. We have metals. But how do we induce precipitation?

Goodfellow and Lydon (2007)
ORE PRECIPITATION – SEDEX ENVIRONMENTS

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ZnCl}_2 + H_2S(aq) & = ZnS_{\text{sphalerite}} + 2H^- + 2Cl^- \\
\text{PbCl}_2 + H_2S(aq) & = PbS_{\text{galena}} + 2H^- + 2Cl^- \\
\end{align*}
\]

→ Metals (Zn, Pb) arrive as chloride complexes in the exhaled hydrothermal fluid

→ They react with $H_2S$ in the anoxic bottom waters of the rift basin to precipitate sulphide ores

→ $H_2S$ originates from the bacterial reduction of (seawater) sulphate common in these environments
SEDEX Model

Goodfellow and Lydon (2007)
SEDEX – AGE SIGNIFICANCE
Note coincidence of SEDEX deposits with periods of stratified anoxic ocean basins.
FLUID INCLUSION TEMPERATURES

• Good fluid inclusion studies are sparse
  ➞ Since SEDEX material is often fine-grained

• Tom, Jason Deposits (Gardner & Hutcheon, 1985; Ansdell et al, 1989)
  • \( \text{Th}_{\text{avg}} = 260 ^\circ \text{C} \quad 9 \text{ wt.}\% \text{ NaCl equiv} \)

• Sullivan (Leitch, 1992)
  • \( \text{Th} = 150-320 ^\circ \text{C} \quad 8-36 \text{ wt.}\% \text{ NaCl equiv} \)

• These temperatures generally compatible with inferred values for modern Red Sea system
  • \( >200-250 ^\circ \text{C} \quad \text{up to 26 wt.}\% \text{ NaCl equiv} \)
SEDEX Ore Deposit

SOURCE (of metals)

TRANSPORT (of metals)

SINK (deposition)

Post-Depositional Processes

Layne, 2007
Summary so far

- SEDEX - sediment-hosted Zn-Pb
- Vent proximal (descriptive info knowledge required)
- Vent distal (descriptive info knowledge required)
- Extensional basins - convective hydrothermal circulation.
- Basinal brines (oxidized, SO$_4$-rich, Cl-rich) - 150-250°C.
- Need H$_2$S at the site of deposition - anoxic/euxinic basins.
ALTERATION

- Hydrothermal alteration associated with SEDEX deposits commonly extends for 100s of m into the pre- and post-ore sedimentary sequence and up to several km laterally from the deposit.
Figure 1. Idealized section showing the principal attributes of most SEDEX deposits, including synsedimentary faults and associated fault-scarp breccias, a vent complex and underlying feeder pipe, the bedded ore and distal hydrothermal-sedimentary facies, and the overlying hydrothermal sediments and alteration zone.

*Note: Goodfellow et al., 1995*

**Post-Ore Hydrothermal Alteration**

- **Sedimentary-hydrothermal facies:** sphalerite, galena, pyrite, pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite, interbedded with barite, chert and pelagic/clastic sedimentary rocks
- **Distal sedimentary facies:** barite, carbonates, Fe-oxides, phosphates, pyrite, minor sphalerite, chert
- **Thin beds of hydrothermal minerals** (barite, apatite, pyrite, minor sphalerite) in post-ore sedimentary rocks
- **Vent complex:** bedded sulphides brecciated, veined and variably replaced by one of a combination of chalcopyrite, tetrahedrite, arsenopyrite, pyrrhotite, galena and sphalerite
- **Feeder pipe:** footwall sedimentary rocks brecciated, infilled and variably replaced by one or combinations of quartz, carbonates, chlorite, sericite, tourmaline and minor sulphides
- **Strata-bound zones of infilling, replacement and alteration of permeable sedimentary units**
- **Hydrothermal alteration zone in post-ore sedimentary rocks** (albite, chlorite, carbonates, minor sulphides)
- **Fault-scarp sedimentary breccia**
Figure 7. Cross-section of the Sullivan deposit, British Columbia, showing the relationship between sulphide ore and massive pyrrhotite, footwall chaotic tourmalinized breccia, and the overlying zone of albitization (modified from Hamilton et al., 1983).

Sullivan SEDEX Deposit Cross-Section

Goodfellow et al., 1995
ALTERATION

• HOWEVER, compared to VMS deposits, feeder pipes and associated alteration underlying SEDEX deposits are relatively subtle/harder to detect:
  ● Lower volume of deposited sulphide in pipe
  ● Less reactive siliciclastic sediments vs glassy volcanic rocks ⇒ alteration less obvious
  ● Limited shallow seawater recharge through the low permeability hemi-pelagic mud hosting most deposits ⇒ alteration less laterally extensive
  ● Diagnostic hydrothermal assemblages - such as clay alteration minerals - easily obscured by later metamorphism ⇒ alteration more cryptic
ALTERATION

- Nevertheless, alteration can extend over great distances from the deposit itself.
- Sericite alteration at Sullivan extends more than 200 m below the ore, ~4 km E-W along the Kimberley Fault, and ~6 km S along the Sullivan-North Star corridor.
Goodfellow & Lydon, 2007
ALTERATION

- The best documented example of post-ore hydrothermal alteration anywhere is the albite-chlorite alteration of turbiditic sedimentary rocks overlying the Sullivan deposit (Hamilton et al, 1982; Turner et al, 2000).

- Late albitization of the vent complex and feeder zone is also apparent - clear evidence that post-ore hydrothermal fluids utilized the same conduits as the ore-forming fluids.
FIG. 5. Geological cross-section of the Sullivan deposit (from Lydon et al., 2000a).
NON-ORE MINERALIZATION

- Post-ore hydrothermal mineralization can also persist 100s of m into hanging wall stratigraphy (an important guide for mineral exploration), for example:
  - Laminated and disseminated barite and pyrite (e.g. Tom, Jason, Rammelsberg, Meggen)
  - Manganese and iron carbonates (e.g. Meggen, Silvermines, McArthur River (HYC))
  - Phosphatic and pyritic chert (e.g. Howards Pass, Anniv)
  - Metal-rich laminated pyrite (e.g. HYC, Mt. Isa, Tom, Jason)

Goodfellow & Lydon, 2007
Figure 1. Idealized section showing the principal attributes of most SEDEX deposits, including synsedimentary faults and associated fault-scarp breccias, a vent complex and underlying feeder pipe, the bedded ore and distal hydrothermal-sedimentary facies, and the overlying hydrothermal sediments and alteration zone.
NON-ORE MINERALIZATION

- These same styles of non-ore hydrothermal mineralization often also form aprons that extend several km laterally from the ore zone of vent-proximal deposits
A Useful Plan-View Schematic of a SEDEX Deposit

Lydon, 1995
• SEDEX deposits typically occur in second-order or local third-order sedimentary basins.

  Vent-proximal deposits are associated with active faults that commonly define the margins of local basins (and the deposit)

  Vent-distal deposits form in bathymetric depressions on the seafloor
Graben: Dropped Fault Block

Horst: Upthrown Fault Block

Setting(s) of SEDEX Pb-Zn Ore Formation
FIG 15. Surface map of the Sullivan deposits showing the Sullivan and West Sullivan grabens or third-order basins and basin bounding faults (from Lydon et al., 2000a).
SEDEX Summary

- Extensional sedimentary basins
- Control by faults
- Vent proximal vs. vent distal
- Zoning of ore facies (above)
- Alteration - footwall and hanging wall

Figure 6.1-4. Schematic illustration of the characteristic features of the idealized Sedex deposit.

GSC
The Sullivan Deposit has all of the definitive characteristics of a SEDEX class deposit.

However, it also has some (somewhat) unusual additional features and geological history.
FIG. 5. Geological cross-section of the Sullivan deposit (from Lydon et al. 2000a).

Sullivan SEDEX Deposit Cross-Section

Goodfellow & Lydon, 2007
SULLIVAN DEPOSIT

- It occurs quite early in the rift-fill sequence of an intracratonic rift – and there are proximal, coeval gabbroic sills in the host rock sequence.

- For next module - what VMS class does this resemble?

- Besshi-type or Bathurst-type setting (or BHT-type deposits)
Recent genetic models for Sullivan (e.g., Lydon, 2004) propose that ore-deposition was preceded by a period of mud volcano activity.

Mud volcanoes were provoked by the intrusion of the gabbroic sills into wet, un-indurated soft sediments within the early rift basin.

Mud volcano activity – rather than typical scarp talus debris – was responsible for forming the footwall conglomerate and chaotic breccia units of Hamilton (1983).
Figure 7. Cross-section of the Sullivan deposit, British Columbia, showing the relationship between sulphide ore and massive pyrrhotite, footwall chaotic tourmalinized breccia, and the overlying zone of albitization (modified from Hamilton et al., 1983).

Sullivan SEDEX Deposit Cross-Section

Goodfellow et al., 1995
SULLIVAN DEPOSIT

- There is also a suggestion that the pronounced zone of tourmalinization below the deposit was also caused by pre-ore fluids related to mud volcano activity.

- However, once mud volcano activity ceased, subsequent hydrothermal activity utilized the same conduit(s), producing a classical vent-proximal SEDEX deposit.
Robb (2005) Box 3.4 (p.188-189) on the Red Dog, AK example of a SEDEX deposit. It should make sense to you in terms of the Lecture discussions.
**Figure 2** Simplified block diagram showing the environment of ore formation in the Carboniferous for the Red Dog deposit (after Moore *et al.*, 1986).