

Article

# **Thermal Treatment of Mercury Mine Wastes Using a Rotary Solar Kiln**

Andrés Navarro<sup>1,\*</sup>, Inmaculada Cañadas<sup>2</sup> and José Rodríguez<sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Fluid Mechanics, Polytechnic University of Catalonia (UPC), Colón, 7, 08222 Terrassa, Spain
- <sup>2</sup> Solar Platform of Almería (PSA), P.O. Box 22, Tabernas, E-04200 Almería, Spain;
   E-Mails: inmaculada.canadas@psa.es (I.C.); jose.rodriguez@psa.es (J.R.)
- \* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: navarro@mf.upc.edu; Tel.: +34-937-398-151; Fax: +34-937-398-101.

Received: 20 November 2013; in revised form: 24 January 2014 / Accepted: 24 January 2014 / Published: 28 January 2014

**Abstract:** Thermal desorption, by a rotary kiln of mercury contaminated soil and mine wastes, has been used in order to volatilize mercury from the contaminated medium. Solar thermal desorption is an innovative treatment that uses solar energy to increase the volatility of contaminants, which are removed from a solid matrix by a controlled air flow system. Samples of soils and mine wastes used in the experiments were collected in the abandoned Valle del Azogue mine (SE, Spain), where a complex ore, composed mainly of cinnabar, arsenic minerals (realgar and orpiment) and stibnite, was mined. The results showed that thermal treatment at temperatures >400 °C successfully lowered the Hg content (2070–116 ppm) to <15 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. The lowest values of mercury in treated samples were obtained at a higher temperature and exposition time. The samples that showed a high removal efficiency (>99%) were associated with the presence of significant contents of cinnabar and an equivalent diameter above 0.8 mm.

Keywords: mercury; mine wastes; thermal desorption; rotary kiln

## 1. Introduction

Thermal treatment has been used to treat mercury-contaminated soil and waste by typical desorption units, which operate at temperatures ranging from 200 to 700 °C [1,2]. In these systems,

wastes are placed in the thermal desorber (direct-fired rotary kilns, indirectly heated screw, auger systems, *etc.*), after being heated, to volatilize the mercury. In these thermal devices, the off-gas generated is passed through a filtration system, where  $Hg^0$ , finally, is collected [3,4]. Several mercury desorption experiments have demonstrated the feasibility of mercury removal at temperatures between 127 and 600 °C [5–8]. Experimental remediation of mercury-polluted soils by low-temperature thermal desorption has also shown mercury removal of over 99% in sand [8] and the volatilization of at least 99% of mercuric sulfide from polluted soil [5].

Besides, thermal treatment of mercury contaminated sediments showed that the percentage of mercury removal raises with the temperature during the treatment of solid matrices [9,10]. Furthermore, the treatment of mercury wastes from the chloralkali industry showed that treatment for 1 h at 800 °C allowed for a removal efficiency above 99.7% [11]. The results of the thermal desorption of mercury from different contaminated wastes showed that thermal decontamination at temperatures >400 °C successfully decreased the Hg content [12–14].

Previous experiments of solar thermal desorption using a fluidized bed kiln showed that when soils and mine wastes were heated to 400–500 °C, the mercury removal was significant [15]. The main objective of this research was to evaluate the potential of solar thermal desorption (STD) for the removal of mercury from mining contaminated soils and wastes. A rotary kiln system was designed and used to evaluate the effectiveness of STD and to verify its efficiency, comparing the results with previous experiments using other technologies.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Characterization of Soils and Mine Wastes

Samples of soils and mine wastes were collected in the Valle del Azogue mine (SE, Spain). The Valle del Azogue mine is located in the Betic Ranges and was exploited from approximately 1873 to 1890. The ore is composed of stibnite, cinnabar, arsenic minerals (realgar and orpiment), sphalerite, siderite, chalcopyrite, pyrite, quartz, calcite and barite [16]. The sampling area, comprising the North of Sierra Almagrera, is located 90 km NE of the city of Almería (SE, Spain) in a semi-arid and intensively cultivated region. This abandoned mining area together with the Iberian Pyrite Belt and the Cartagena mining district is the oldest metallurgical and mining area in the Iberian Peninsula [16].

Approximately 1.5-kg samples of mine wastes and soil (Figure 1) were manually extracted and crushed to 10 mesh in a jaw crusher, quartered and pulverized in an agate mortar, re-homogenized and repacked in plastic bags. Soil samples were taken from a depth of approximately 0–0.25 m and were sent to Actlabs (Ontario, Canada) with other mine samples. Au, Ag, As, Ba, Br, Ca, Ce, Co, Cr, Cs, Eu, Fe, Hf, Hg, Ir, La, Lu, Na, Ni, Nd, Rb, Sb, Sc, Se, Sm, Sn, Sr, Ta, Th, Tb, U, W, Y and Yb were quantitatively analyzed by instrumental neutron activation analysis (INAA), and Mo, Cu, Pb, Zn, Ag, Ni, Mn, Sr, Cd, Bi, V, Ca, P, Mg, Tl, Al, K, Y and Be were analyzed by inductively coupled plasma emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES). The thermally treated samples were analyzed in the same way. The accuracy of the analytical data may be evaluated around 10%, because of the heterogeneity of the solid samples.

**Figure 1.** (a) General view of Valle del Azogue area. (b) Detail of the calcines and old metallurgical plant (location of MA4 and MA5 samples). (c) Detail of weathered superficial mine wastes (location of MA1 and MA2 samples).



(a)



(c)

Hg phases were determined by solid-phase Hg-thermodesorption (SPTD), based on the specific thermal desorption or decomposition of Hg compounds from solids at different temperatures [16,17]. Mercury thermo-desorption curves were determined by means of an in-house apparatus, consisting of an electronically controlled heating unit and an Hg detection unit. Measurements were carried out at a heating rate of 0.5 °C/s and a nitrogen-gas flow of 300 mL/min. The lowest level of detection under the given conditions is in the range of 40–50 ng if all Hg is released within a single peak [17]. The results are depicted as Hg-thermodesorption curves (Hg-TDC), which show the release of mercury *versus* temperature.

Mine waste samples were studied using transmitted and reflected light microscopy, X-ray diffraction (XRD) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) with an attached energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy system (EDS) at the Electronic Microscopy Laboratory of the Barcelona Autonomous University.

Furthermore, some physical characteristics of the soil were evaluated: pore size distribution, porosity, field capacity and bulk density (Table 1). Particle size distribution was determined by sieve analysis using aperture ranges between 4000 and  $<350 \,\mu\text{m}$ .

**Table 1.** Metal concentration in soils and mine wastes. Values in parts per million, except Fe (%), Na (%), S (%), Ca (%), K (%) and Mg (%). MW, mining wastes; CS, contaminated soil; CAL, calcine; IV, intervention values for soil remediation of the Dutch regulations [18].

Sample	MA1	MA3	MA5	MA6	MA2	MA4	MA7	IV
Туре	MW	CS	CAL	MW	MW	CAL	CAL	
As (ppm)	598	143	1320	462	550	1,550	477	55
Ba (ppm)	59,800	7,350	20,400	15,800	78,900	93,000	42,800	625
Co (ppm)	9	<1	25	8	<1	<4	<4	240
Cr (ppm)	<20	64	<60	44	83	<43	<9	380
Fe (%)	3.19	3.44	2.72	2.49	2.27	3.06	2.34	
Hg (ppm)	2,070	116	<25	935	865	130	1,240	10
Na (%)	0.83	1.03	2.23	1.18	0.64	0.69	1.24	
Sb (ppm)	2,880	357	>10,000	2,000	3,290	>10,000	2,850	15
Se (ppm)	<18	<6	<37	<9	<10	<31	<3	0.7 *
Ta (ppm)	<2.5	< 0.5	< 6.0	<2.5	< 0.5	<3.3	< 0.5	1 *
Th (ppm)	<2.0	11.6	<7.0	7.2	6.5	<3.8	4.8	
U (ppm)	<6.0	<0.9	<15.0	<3.0	<2.9	<8.9	<1.9	
W (ppm)	<5	<1	<13	<4	<1	<6	<1	
Ag (ppm)	16.5	1.1	58.7	15.7	25.7	34.5	15.3	15 **
Cu (ppm)	36	27	32	38	31	42	28	190
Cd (ppm)	3.8	1	5	1.4	10.6	6.6	0.8	12
Mo (ppm)	4	<1	<1	1	2	<1	<1	200
Pb (ppm)	213	134	1,820	512	1,210	1,190	536	530
Ni (ppm)	25	55	20	34	20	23	18	210
Zn (ppm)	1,200	424	503	1,330	3,190	2,230	854	720
S (%)	2.62	0.47	2.81	1.49	2.05	2.02	0.28	
Be (ppm)	2	3	1	3	2	2	2	1.1 *
Ca (%)	1.7	2.49	7.33	2.28	0.27	3.09	0.12	
K (%)	1.86	1.98	1.14	2.07	1.87	1.45	1.49	
Mg (%)	0.46	1.28	0.94	0.69	0.23	1.16	0.54	
Mn (ppm)	83	823	64	108	53	171	31	
V (ppm)	51	91	25	63	57	30	53	42 *

Notes: \* target values for sediments; \*\* indicative values of serious contamination for sediments.

# 2.2. Rotary Kiln

In this study, an experimental rotary kiln was used in the solar devices of the Solar Platform of Almería (PSA-CIEMAT (Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Mediombientales y Tecnológicas)) in Spain. This solar system (Figures 2 and 3) essentially consists of a continuous solar-tracking flat heliostat, a parabolic concentrator mirror (collector), an attenuator (shutter) and an experimental kiln located in the concentrator focus center [19].

The heliostat reflects horizontal and parallel solar rays on the parabolic collector, which again reflects and concentrates them in its center, located at the rotary kiln-window (Figure 3). When the

shutter is 100% opened and with a direct solar irradiance of 100  $W/m^2$ , the focus is characterized by an irradiance peak of 3051 kW/m<sup>2</sup>, a total power of 70 kW and a focal diameter of 26 cm.

The experimental rotary kiln consists of a rotating cylindrical device, where heat is transferred through a quartz window focused to a parabolic collector (Figure 3). The contaminants are volatilized and transported to the emission control system by a controlled air-flow system. The process gas is filtered through an activated carbon unit, where mercury and other contaminants are adsorbed.

**Figure 2.** Experimental solar system comprising a continuous solar-tracking flat heliostat, a parabolic concentrator mirror (collector), an attenuator (shutter) and the experimental kiln located in the concentrator focus center.



Figure 3. Detail of the rotary kiln and the quartz window.



#### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Geochemistry of Soils and Mine Wastes: Physical Characteristics

The mean concentrations of mercury and other metals in the soil and mine waste samples are shown in Table 1. The total mercury concentration in soils and wastes from the Valle del Azogue area varies between <25 and 2070 mg/kg. In the waste samples, Pb contents vary between 134 and 1820 mg/kg. Furthermore, high concentrations of As, Ba, Sb and Zn were detected, above the intervention values for soil remediation of international regulations (Table 1). Due to the mining and metallurgical activities, plants have disappeared or have been severely affected by the very high mercury and metal content in most part of this area [20].

The main physical parameters of soils used in the experiments showed a mean bulk density of  $1450 \text{ kg/m}^3$ , a mean porosity of 0.40 and a mean field capacity of 0.08 (Table 2). The particle sizes showed that the abandoned mine wastes and contaminated soils are largely comprised of sandy material fraction (Figure 4). The coarser sample 1 is associated with mining wastes and, possibly, to overburdened ore deposit. Remaining samples have a particle size that may be suitable for thermal treatment.

Table 2. The main physical characteristics of soils used in the column experiments.

Sample	de	3	ρ	FC (%)
MA1	3.4		1330	8
MA2	1.8	0.34	1430	8
MA3	1.0	0.45	1310	10
MA4	0.8		1410	10
MA5	0.5		1470	7
MA6	1.9		1400	8
MA7	1.3	0.41	1490	8

Notes:  $d_e$ , equivalent diameter (mm) obtained by sieve analysis;  $\varepsilon$ , porosity obtained by water displacement in a test tube;  $\rho$ , bulk density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>); FC, field capacity.

**Figure 4.** (a) Grain size distribution of samples MA1 to MA3. (b) Grain size distribution of samples MA4 to MA7.



42



Hg-thermodesorption curves (Hg-TDC) belonging to mining wastes, soils and calcine samples showed the predominant release of Hg in two temperature ranges: 200–250 °C and 300–330 °C (Figure 5). The first temperature range was assigned to a release of Hg from the soil matrix components based on the Hg-TDCs of standard materials [17]. Thus, we assume that most of the Hg present in the calcine material is bound to mineral components mainly by iron oxides, which were formed when the cinnabar-bearing ore was being roasted. It has been suggested in earlier studies that Hg<sup>0</sup> formed during thermal breakdown of cinnabar is re-condensed during the cooling of the material and adsorbed to iron oxide surfaces [21]. In addition to matrix-bound Hg, some calcine samples contain traces of cinnabar. This could be explained by an incomplete breakdown of cinnabar ore during the roasting process.

Figure 5. Hg-thermodesorption curves (Hg-TDC) of samples: (a) MA1 (mining wastes);
(b) MA2 (mining wastes); (c) MA3 (contaminated soil); (d) MA4 (calcine); (e) MA5 (calcine); (f) MA6 (mining wastes); and (g) MA7 (calcine).



**(a)** 



Figure 5. Cont.









Figure 5. Cont.







The second temperature range was assigned to Hg release from cinnabar, which was the predominant Hg mineral in contaminated soils and mining wastes (host rock and low-grade stockpiles). Cinnabar and a possible Hg sulfate were also detected in several samples [16]. No free metallic Hg, which is typically released at temperatures below 100 °C, was found in any of the samples studied.

Mercury phase characterization by soil sample X-ray showed the presence of cinnabar (HgS), corderoite (Hg<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>), laffittite (AgHgAsS<sub>3</sub>), metacinnabar ((Hg)S), shakhovite (Hg<sub>4</sub>SbO<sub>5</sub>(OH)<sub>3</sub>), schuetteite (Hg<sub>3</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)O<sub>2</sub>) and tiemannite (HgSe) (Table 3). The proportionally Hg predominant phase is cinnabar, which is concordant with the SPTD analyses.

The detailed SEM and EDS systems study of mine waste samples showed the presence of primary and secondary cinnabar associated with barite, pyrite and botryoidal pyrite. Furthermore, SEM observations showed several small particles containing both Hg and Cl and that may be associated with calomel (Hg<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>). Moreover, some particles containing both Hg and Br were observed and may be associated with kuzminite (Hg<sub>2</sub>(Br,Cl)<sub>2</sub>) [15,22]. Besides, in the gangue, main minerals are quartz, barite and silicates.

Minerals	Formula
Primary minerals (soils and mine wastes)	
Quartz *	SiO <sub>2</sub>
Barite *	Ba(SO <sub>4</sub> )
Cinnabar *	HgS
Dolomite *	$CaMg(CO_3)_2$
Calcite *	Ca(CO <sub>3</sub> )
Huntite *	Mg <sub>3</sub> Ca(CO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>
Stibnite *	$Sb_2S_3$
Realgar *	AsS
Orpiment	$As_2S_3$
Chalcopyrite	CuFeS <sub>2</sub>
Arsenian pyrite *	$Fe(S_{1-x}As_x)_2$
Sphalerite	ZnS
Orthoclase	K(Al,Fe)Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub>
Gold	Au
Illite *	Al <sub>4</sub> (Si <sub>4</sub> O <sub>10</sub> )(OH) <sub>8</sub>
Secondary minerals (soils and mine wastes)	
$\mathrm{Hg}^{0}$	Hg
Metacinnabar	HgS
Goethite	FeOOH
Jarosite	$KFe_3(SO_4)_2(OH)_6$
Hematite	$Fe_2O_3$
Inyoite	CaB <sub>3</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (OH) <sub>5</sub> ·4H <sub>2</sub> O
Ferrihydrite	Fe(OH) <sub>3</sub>
Kaolinite	KAl <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>3</sub> AlO <sub>10</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub> ·3H <sub>2</sub> O
Gypsum	$Ca(SO_4) \cdot 2H_2O$

**Table 3.** Identified minerals in the Valle del Azogue soil and mine wastes and dominant Hg minerals from Hg-thermodesorption curves. Modified from Navarro *et al.* [22].

Minerals	Formula			
Secondary minerals (soils and mine wastes)				
Schuetteite	$Hg_3(SO_4)O_2$			
Tiemannite	HgSe			
Corderoite	$Hg_3S_2Cl_2$			
Shakhovite	Hg <sub>4</sub> SbO <sub>5</sub> (OH) <sub>3</sub>			
Calomel	$Hg_2Cl_2$			
Kuzminite	$Hg_2(Br,Cl)_2$			
Sample	Dominant Hg-mineral phase			
MA1 (mining wastes)	Hg matrix, cinnabar			
MA2 (mining wastes)	Hg matrix			
MA3 (contaminated soil)	Hg matrix, cinnabar			
MA4 (calcine)	Hg matrix			
MA5 (calcine)	Hg matrix			
MA6 (mining wastes)	Hg matrix, cinnabar			
MA7 (calcine)	Hg matrix			

Table 3. Cont.

Note: \* high-medium abundant minerals.

### 3.2. Thermal Desorption Experiments

Rotary kiln experiments were conducted under global radiation of approximately 800 W/m<sup>2</sup> with an exposure time of between 120 and 300 min. Table 4 shows the initial and final mercury concentration in the treated samples and the mercury removal efficiency of each experiment. Efficiency was calculated as the percentage of removed mercury with respect to the untreated sample.

Sample	Hg (US) (ppm)	Hg (TS) (ppm)	RE (%)	T (°C)
MA1	2070	<10	99.5	550
MA3	116	<1	99.1	750
MA5	<25	<25		790
MA6	935	<5	99.4	700
MA2	865	<5	99.4	700-800
MA4	130	<15	88.4	700-800
MA7	1240	<5	99.6	700-800

**Table 4.** Results of mercury removal by solar thermal desorption with the rotary kiln. US, untreated sample; TS, treated sample; RE, removal efficiency; T, mean temperature reached.

The results showed that the lowest values of mercury in treated samples were consistently obtained at higher exposition times and desorption temperatures of the main Hg minerals (Table 5). However, in sample MA1, a low temperature exposition allowed for a greater mercury removal (99.5%). Besides, the lead removal in the thermal experiments was also significant, since the removal efficiency reached values of 48.5%–89.0% in all samples, with the exception of the MA1 and MA2 samples (Table 6). At lower temperatures, Pb was not removed, suggesting the presence of Pb as a trace metal in other minerals other than galena, such as barite and pyrite, as the volatilization

temperature of galena is really low (503 to 654 °C). High amounts of Pb in pyrite from the study area have been reported, reaching concentrations up to 0.29% in weight.

Phase	<b>Desorption temperature (°C)</b>		
$Hg^0$	<100		
$Hg_2 Cl_2$	170		
$HgCl_2$	<250, 220		
HgO	420–550		
$HgSO_4$	450–500		
HgS (cinnabar)	310-330		
Hg in pyrite	>450		
Hg in Sphalerite	600		
Hg matrix	200–300		

 Table 5. Desorption temperatures of different mercury minerals.

**Table 6.** Results of lead removal by solar thermal desorption with the rotary kiln. US, untreated sample; TS, treated sample; RE, removal efficiency; T, mean temperature reached.

Sample	Pb (US) (ppm)	Pb (TS) (ppm)	RE (%)	T (°C)
MA1	213	245		550
MA3	134	69	48.5	750
MA5	1820	795	56.3	790
MA6	512	260	49.2	700
MA2	1210	745	38.4	700-800
MA4	1190	130	89.0	700-800
MA7	536	68	87.3	700-800

Figure 6 reveals the decrease of mercury with temperature in sample MA7, coinciding with a removal above 99% when the thermal treatment reached 400 °C, above the decomposition temperature of cinnabar (310–330 °C). Mercury removal became highly efficient (more than 90% removal) when the temperature was higher than 400 °C. Similar results were reported by other studies [6,11,15].

Figure 6. Evolution of mercury removal during the treatment of sample MA7.



This temperature may be the lowest limit treatment in order to remove mercury from solid wastes associated with cinnabar and matrix-bound Hg. However, if contaminated samples comprise mercury associated with pyrite, sphalerite and HgSO<sub>4</sub>, the treatment temperature should be over 600 °C, above the decomposition temperature of these mineral phases [15,23,24]. Moreover, the experimental results showed that the rotary kiln is more efficient than a fluidized-bed reactor in order to remove mercury from contaminated soils and wastes. The results reported by Navarro *et al.* [15] showed a lower removal efficiency (4.5%–76.0%), using similar samples and a solar fluidized reactor.

Furthermore, experimental results showed that metalloids, like Sb, Se and As, remain in treated samples at elevated concentrations. Thus, thermal treatment has been shown to cause, possibly, a stronger binding between the metal/metalloid with the soil matrix, which may cause increasing difficulty in subsequent remediation. Therefore, solar thermal treatment is inefficient in the metalloid removal.

#### 4. Conclusions

Soils and mine waste samples from the abandoned Hg mine of Valle del Azogue (Almería province, Spain) were thermally treated in a rotary solar kiln to test the efficiency of the method on the mercury removal.

The SPTD determinations showed two different temperature ranges in which mercury was released from the samples: 200–250 °C and 300–330 °C. Previous interpretations showed that the first Hg release peak indicates the Hg release from the solid matrix, whereas the second peak at higher temperatures indicates the presence of cinnabar. The first release peak is typically associated with calcine samples, and the second peak release is dominant in mining wastes and soils.

Experimental results showed a removal efficiency above 99% when the thermal treatment reaches 400 °C, above the decomposition temperature of cinnabar (310–330 °C), and similar results were reported by other studies. Mercury removal was highly efficient (more than 90% removal) in samples that showed a significant content of cinnabar and an equivalent diameter above 0.8 mm. Moreover, the experimental results showed that the rotary kiln is more efficient than a fluidized-bed reactor, in order to remove mercury from contaminated soils and wastes.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was funded by The Spanish Ministry of Science and Technology (project REN2003-09247-C04-03 and ENE2006-13267-C05-01/ALT) in collaboration with the PSA-CIEMAT (Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Mediombientales y Tecnológicas), and the 2003–2004 Technical and Scientific Infrastructure Program (FEDER CIEM-E008). The authors wish to thank Dr. Ursula Kelm and Mónica Uribe for XRD analyses and interpretation. The technical support by the PSA Furnace personnel, especially Jose Galindo, is also greatly appreciated.

## **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *Treatment Technologies for Mercury in Soil, Waste and Water*; EPA-542-R-07-003; Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency: Washington, DC, USA, 2007.
- 2. Mulligan, C.N.; Yong, R.N.; Gibbs, B.F. Remediation technologies for metal-contaminated soils and groundwater: An evaluation. *Eng. Geol.* **2001**, *60*, 193–207.
- 3. Wang, J.; Feng, X.; Anderson, C.W.N.; Xing, Y.; Shang, L. Remediation of mercury contaminated sites—A review. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2012**, *221–222*, 1–18.
- 4. Khan, F.I.; Husain, T.; Hejazi, R. An overview and analysis of site remediation technologies. *J. Environ. Manag.* **2004**, *71*, 95–122.
- Matsuyama, A.; Iwasaki, H.; Higaki, K.; Yabuta, H.; Sano, T.; Akagi, H. Basic Study on the Remediation Technology of Mercury Compound-Contaminated Soil by Low-Temperature Thermal Treatment; Ebinghaus, R., Turner, R.R., de Lacerda, L.D., Vasiliev, O., Salomons, W., Eds.; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, Germany, 1999.
- 6. Chang, T.C.; Yen, J.H. On-site mercury-contaminated soils remediation by using thermal desorption technology. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2006**, *128*, 208–217.
- Kunkel, A.M.; Seibert, J.J.; Elliot, L.J.; Ricci, K.; Lynn, E.K.; Pope, G.A. Remediation of elemental mercury using *in situ* thermal desorption (ISTD). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2006, 40, 2384–2389.
- Kucharski, R.; Zielonka, U.; Sas-Nowosielska, A.; Kuperberg, J.M.; Worsztynowicz, A.; Szdzuj, J. A method of mercury removal from topsoil using low-thermal application. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 2005, 104, 341–351.
- Lesa, B.; Aneggi, E.; Rossi, G.; Comuzzi, C.; Goi, D. Bench-scale tests on ultrasound-assisted acid washing and thermal desorption of mercury from dredging sludge and other solid matrices. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2009, 171, 647–653.
- Careghini, A.; Dastoli, S.; Ferrari, G.; Saponaro, S.; Bonomo, L.; De Propris, L.; Gabellini, M. Sequential solidification/stabilization and thermal process under vacuum for the treatment of mercury in sediments. *J. Soils Sediments* 2010, *10*, 1646–1656.
- Busto, Y.; Cabrera, X.; Tack, F.M.G.; Verloo, M.G. Potential of thermal treatment for decontamination of mercury containing wastes from chlor-alkali industry. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2011, 186, 114–118.
- 12. Huang, Y.T.; Hseu, Z.-Y.; Hsi, H.H. Influences of termal decontamination on mercury removal, soil properties, and repartitioning of coexisting heavy metals. *Chemosphere* **2011**, *84*, 1244–1249.
- 13. Comuzzi, C.; Lesa, B.; Aneggi, E.; Dolcetti, G.; Goi, D. Salt-assisted thermal desorption of mercury from contaminated dredging sludge. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2011**, *193*, 177–182.
- 14. Hua, J.M.; Wei, K.M.; Zheng, Q. Thermal desorption of mercury from gold-loaded granule activated carbon and its effect on gold elution. *Hydrometallurgy* **2012**, *117–118*, 86–92.
- 15. Navarro, A.; Cañadas, I.; Martínez, D.; Rodríguez, J.; Mendoza, J.L. Application of solar thermal desorption to remediation of mercury-contaminated soils. *Sol. Energy* **2009**, *83*, 1405–1414.
- 16. Navarro, A.; Biester, H.; Mendoza, J.L.; Cardellach, E. Mercury speciation and mobilization in contaminated soils of the valle del azogue Hg mine (SE, Spain). *Environ. Geol.* **2006**, *49*, 1089–1101.

- 17. Biester, H.; Scholz, C. Determination of mercury binding forms in contaminated soils: Mercury pyrolysis *versus* sequential extractions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1996**, *31*, 233–239.
- 18. Ministry of Housing, Spatial Plannin and the Environment. *Circular on Target Values and Intervention Values for Soil Remediation*; Ministry of Housing, Spatial Plannin and the Environment: The Hague, The Netherlands, 2000.
- Román, R.; Cañadas, I.; Rodríguez, J.; Hernández, M.T.; González, M. Solar sintering of alumina ceramics: Microstructural development. *Sol. Energy* 2008, *82*, 893–902.
- 20. Viladevall, M.; Font, X.; Navarro, A. Geochemical mercury survey in the Azogue Valley (Betic area, SE Spain). *J. Geochem. Explor.* **1999**, *66*, 27–35.
- 21. Biester, H.; Gosar, M.; Müller, G. Mercury speciation in tailings of the Idrija mercury mine. *J. Geochem. Explor.* **1999**, *65*, 195–204.
- 22. Navarro, A.; Cañadas, I.; Rodríguez, J.; Martínez, D. Leaching characteristics of mercury mine wastes before and after solar thermal desorption. *Environ. Eng. Sci.* **2012**, *29*, 915–928.
- 23. Navarro, A.; Cardellach, E.; Cañadas, I.; Rodríguez, J. Solar thermal vitrification of mining contaminated soils. *Int. J. Miner. Process.* **2013**, *119*, 65–74.
- Taube, F.; Pommer, L.; Larsson, T.; Shchukarev, A.; Nordin, A. Soil remediation-mercury speciation in soil and vapor phase during thermal treatment. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* 2008, 193, 155–163.

© 2014 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).